

## Resolutions

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials resolutions are formal statements of a decision, policy or expression of opinion that have been put before and adopted by the ASPCRO membership as a whole or the Board of Directors.

### **2014 Annual General Membership Meeting – Missoula, Montana**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE MONTANA STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2014 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Missoula, Montana has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues and high quality content. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and sincerely appreciates Linda Johns, Jolene C. Warnke-Roszel and the staff of the Agricultural Services Bureau for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting introducing the attendees to the spirit of Big Sky Country.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting ASPCRO and the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2014 annual meeting in Missoula, Montana.

#### **III. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR LONNIE MATHEWS**

Mr. Lonnie Mathews has served the Association of Structural Pest control Regulatory Officials as Executive Secretary for ten years from 2004 through 2013. In honor of that dedicated service and contribution to the advancement of the Association's mission, it is resolved that ASPCRO recognize Mr. Mathews in grateful appreciation for that valuable service.

### **2013 Annual General Membership Meeting – Atlanta, Georgia**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE GEORGIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2013 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Atlanta, Georgia has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues, high quality content, and reflecting this thriving and eclectic city's southern roots. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and sincerely appreciates Derrick Lastinger, Nancy Collier and the staff of the Structural Pest Section for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting.

## Resolutions

### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting ASPCRO and the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2013 annual meeting in Atlanta, Georgia.

### **III. PEST MANAGEMENT IN SCHOOLS COMMITTEE RESOLUTION**

Whereas, the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency have officially encouraged schools to adopt integrated pest management (IPM), resulting in limited implementation and adoption by States,

Whereas, the State Regulatory Community plays a pivotal role\* in the US EPA's initiative to implement IPM in Schools nationwide,

Whereas, IPM in Schools initiatives and State Laws, in general, have no enforcement component and therefore little practitioner accountability or client responsibility in implementing or maintaining IPM programs in Schools beyond sustaining the low-bid model for pest management.

Whereas, ASPCRO acknowledges there can be a need and beneficial outcome with State Regulatory involvement in IPM in Schools programs,

The ASPCRO Board resolves to provide greater guidance into the role for State Lead Agency in IPM in Schools initiatives and programs by directing the Pest Management in Schools Committee to:

1. survey members states on regulations currently in place and their willingness to consider assisting in the implementation and maintenance of IPM programs for Schools, and
2. develop suggested guidelines for School IPM Programs.

### **2011 Annual General Membership Meeting – Point Clear, Alabama**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2011 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Point Clear, Alabama has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues, high quality content and southern hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and sincerely appreciates Mr. Joe Debrow and the staff of the Pesticide Section for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING**

## Resolutions

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting ASPCRO and the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2011 annual meeting in Point Clear, Alabama.

### **2010 Annual General Membership Meeting – Charleston, West Virginia**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2010 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Charleston, WV has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues, high quality content and southern hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and sincerely appreciates Mr. Grant Bishop and the staff of the Pesticide Section for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2010 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting ASPCRO and the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2010 annual meeting in Charleston, WV.

### **2009 Annual General Membership Meeting – Denver, Colorado**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2009 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Denver, Colorado has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues, high quality content and western hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and sincerely appreciates Mr. John Scott and the staff of the Pesticide Section for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting ASPCRO and the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2009 annual meeting in Denver, Colorado.

## **Resolutions**

### **2008 Annual General Membership Meeting – Albuquerque, New Mexico**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

The 2008 annual meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Albuquerque, New Mexico has been an exceptional meeting filled with emerging issues, high quality content and spicy hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes and appreciates Bonnie Rabe and the staff of the office of Agricultural and Environmental Services for their outstanding efforts and dedication in hosting this successful meeting.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2008 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2008 annual meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

### **2007 Annual General Membership Meeting**

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR CLEMSON UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION**

The 2007 Annual Meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials held in Charleston, South Carolina has been an outstanding meeting complete with a high quality program and lots of southern hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO extend sincere thanks and appreciation to Jim Wright and the staff of the Clemson University Department of Pesticide Regulation for their dedicated efforts in organizing and conducting this successful event.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2007 ANNUAL MEETING**

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials values involvement of the many manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others in supporting the annual meeting. It is resolved that ASPCRO recognize all of the businesses, companies and organizations for their support and contributions to the success of the 2007 Annual Meeting in Charleston, South Carolina.

#### **III. RESOLUTION ON ASSOCIATION DUES**

Whereas the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials is a national professional association comprised of structural pest control regulatory officials from the fifty states and various US territories.

## Resolutions

Whereas the Association's purpose includes promoting better understanding, efficiency, and workable solutions to regulatory, educational, and research issues related to the control and eradication of structural pests, through cooperative efforts with the EPA and pest control industry professionals; and to promote professional standards for the regulated industry while protecting the health and welfare of the citizens of each state.

Whereas Association dues are utilized to support ASPCRO functions including costs associated with conducting the annual meeting, educational trainings, committee work on relevant issues, maintaining the website, and providing other member benefits;

Whereas ASPCRO is dedicated to performing those functions while the expenses to conduct those functions have increased;

Whereas the ASPCRO bylaws state dues are to be paid by all new and current members in an amount determined at any annual meeting;

It is hereby resolved to increase the annual membership dues to \$150.

### **2006 Annual General Membership Meeting**

The Board of Directors proposed the following resolutions for consideration by the General Membership:

#### **I. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY AND LOUISIANA PEST CONTROL ASSOCIATION**

The 2006 Annual Meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Officials held in New Orleans, Louisiana has been a highly enjoyable meeting complete with high quality informational content and hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO sincerely thanks Bobby Simoneaux and the staff of the Office of Pesticide & Environmental Programs for organizing and conducting this meeting, and the Louisiana Pest Management Association for their support and assistance.

#### **II. RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR SPONSORS OF THE 2006 ANNUAL MEETING**

The 2006 Annual Meeting of the Association of Structural Pest Control Officials held in New Orleans, Louisiana has been a highly enjoyable meeting complete with high quality informational content and hospitality. It is resolved that ASPCRO sincerely thank all the sponsors who have contributed to the success of this meeting. It would not be possible to conduct a meeting of this caliber without the support of members, registrants, businesses and associations.

#### **III. RESOLUTION FIFRA 25(b)**

Whereas the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials (ASPCRO) represents state regulatory agencies that license and regulate pest management services, including use and storage;

Whereas the proper use of pesticides is an important tool in helping to protect public health, property and the environment;

## Resolutions

Whereas the public places its trust in pesticide laws, rules, policies and labels that have been developed to help ensure consumers are protected from harmful substances as well as ensuring that pesticides are effective in their intended use;

Whereas laws, rules, policies and labeling is constantly changing, to provide the highest degree of protection for human health and the environment, and reflect new technology and scientific discovery, as well as to improve safety;

Whereas Pesticide Registration Notice 2000-6 states that "if a product does not meet all of the exemption criteria, the product is not exempt from FIFRA and its sale or distribution if not registered would be a violation of FIFRA";

Whereas consumer health is at risk because of illegal 25(b) pesticide products in the marketplace; and, the state regulatory agencies throughout the United States do not have resources to effectively conduct compliance/enforcement actions pertaining to 25(b) activities, and meet their existing regulatory obligations pertaining to fully registered products; and

Whereas the EPA has exempted from pesticide registration, and therefore regulation, products that meet certain definitions under FIFRA Section 25(b).

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that ASPCRO

1. believes that the potential health risks of using unregistered minimum risk pesticides that claim to control, repel, or otherwise mitigate public health pests outweigh the regulatory burden imposed by requiring registration;
2. supports the Consumer Specialty Products Association's position in its March 15, 2006 Petition relating to minimum risk pesticides that claim to control, repel, or otherwise mitigate public health pests;
3. believes that 25(b) exempt products containing pesticidal claims, relating to public health pests should be registered or otherwise regulated as pesticides by the EPA;
4. believes this would allow all states with legal jurisdiction to better regulate those products;
5. believes that these minimum risk pesticides that claim to control, repel, or otherwise mitigate public health pests could be subject to a less intense registration or other regulatory process, for example a waiver of chronic toxicity data. However, at a minimum, the data for these products must show sufficient stability and efficacy to protect consumers from these vectors; and
6. requests that the EPA bring these exempted products under its pesticide registration or other regulatory requirements.

### **2004 Annual General Membership Meeting**

#### **Resolution III**

Resolution III is a resolution for the PREP steering committee to have a more open selection process. It read:

## Resolutions

The Pesticide Regulatory Education Program (PREP) is designed to educate managers of pesticide programs throughout the United States in various aspects. Most times, request for attendance at these courses exceeds the design capacity of the course. The selection process for attendees in such cases appears to be unclear and inconsistent with the stated goals of the course.

It is hereby resolved that the Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials urges the PREP steering committee to create a more open and transparent process in the selection of attendees at all future PREP courses to ensure that the stated goals and objectives of the course and PREP are met.

### **Resolution IV**

Resolution IV was to support funding efforts and more clearly define funding for Pesticide Safety Educators Panel (PSEP). It read:

Be it Resolved:

Whereas, the safe use of pesticides has provided for improved human health, sanitation, and economic protection; and,

Whereas pesticide safety education is a foundation for safe and effective pesticide use; and,

Whereas education and training in the safe use of all pesticides, especially Restricted Use Pesticides, are necessary for their continued availability; and,

Whereas pesticide safety education provides information necessary to the protection of workers, the environment and the public; and,

Whereas State Lead Agencies (SLAs) rely on pesticide safety education to prepare pesticide applicators for certification examinations; and,

Whereas the Cooperative Extension Service Pesticide Safety Education Program (CES PSEP) has been chosen to play an important role in providing this training;

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials (ASPCRO) supports continued funding of Pesticide Safety Education Programs designed to provide training and education in the use of pesticides, especially Restricted Use Pesticides, to applicators, but not at the expense of other continuing SLA programs, and

ASPCRO supports standards of accountability and reporting for these programs similar to current SLA programs which will help demonstrate their indispensability, and

ASPCRO supports close working relationships between SLAs and CES PSEP through coordination of activities as a part of the funding application.

## Resolutions

### 2000 Annual General Membership Meeting

#### Resolution #1

In recognition of the Arkansas State Plant Board for its tremendous efforts as the host state in the planning, content and conduct of an outstanding ASPCRO meeting in Little Rock, Arkansas. ASPCRO also recognizes the many individual actions made by the staff of the Arkansas State Plant Board.

It is resolved to recognize Don Alexander, Tim Ellison, and the Staff of the Arkansas State Plant Board for all the outstanding efforts that were put forward.

#### Resolution #2

There are many companies and organizations that contributed to the success of the 2000 ASPCRO Annual Meeting. These contributors included manufacturers, suppliers, pest control companies, pest control associations and others with a sincere interest in their industry.

It is resolved that ASPCRO recognizes all of the businesses, companies, and organizations noted in the program for their many generous contributions.

#### Resolution #3

ASPCRO Non-Support of Registration of Soil Applied Termiticides at Use Rates Below the 5 Year Efficacy Standards.

Background:

The USDA Forest Service Laboratory is recognized as the primary source of termiticide efficacy data based upon their work at multi-state trial sites. These data are the foundations for regulatory judgments by the states and EPA for registration of termiticide products.

The EPA has the task of reviewing label submission made by registrants of termiticides, in particular soil applied products, to determine compliance with registration standards. One critical issue is the adequacy of label use rates to provide constant and effective control of termites.

A third component to this issue is the role of ASPCRO member states as consumer protection advocates. Although EPA does not have a consumer protection mandate in FIFRA, many states have clear legislative mandates in this area.

In 1996 EPA issued Pesticide Regulatory Notice 96-7, which recognized the linkage of USDA, EPA and ASPCRO and how their respective roles were to be brought together in the registration of soil applied termiticides.

In the PR Notice, EPA recognized the unique role played by ASPCRO both in the assessment of proposed use rates and enforcement of the approved labels.

## Resolutions

Recent action by the EPA in unilateral reduction of termite use rates of chlorpyrifos products was clearly outside of the prior agreement between ASPCRO and EPA to consult on pending labeling actions. These EPA decisions seriously undermine the agreed upon role of ASPCRO in the termiticide labeling process. The agency abdicates its responsibility to consistently apply the principles embodied in PR 96-7 and ultimately forces individual states to once again consider taking their own regulatory action against labels found to not meet the five-year efficacy standard that had been the agreed upon standard for soil applied termiticide products.

Resolution:

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials does not support registration of any termiticide product, whether new or used, at a use rate that conflicts with the efficacy standard as identified in PR Notice 96-7.

### **Resolution #4**

ASPCRO Recommendation to Deny States Registration for Termiticide Products Having Use Ratios in Conflict with Five Years Efficacy Standards as in EPA PR Notice 96-7.

Background:

The USDA Forest Service Laboratory is recognized as the primary source of termiticide efficacy data based upon their work at multi-state trial sites. These data are the foundations for regulatory judgments by the states and EPA for registration of termiticide products.

The EPA has the task of reviewing label submission made by registrants of termiticides, in particular soil applied products, to determine compliance with registration standards. One critical issue is the adequacy of label use rates to provide constant and effective control of termites.

A third component to this issue is the role of ASPCRO member states as consumer protection advocates. Although EPA does not have a consumer protection mandate in FIFRA, many states have clear legislative mandates in this area.

In 1996 EPA issued Pesticide Regulatory Notice 96-7, which recognized the linkage of USDA, EPA and ASPCRO and how their respective roles were to be brought together in the registration of soil applied termiticides.

In the PR Notice, EPA recognized the unique role played by ASPCRO both in the assessment of proposed use rates and enforcement of the approved labels.

Recent action by the EPA in unilateral reduction of termite use rates of chlorpyrifos products was clearly outside of the prior agreement between ASPCRO and EPA to consult on pending labeling actions. These EPA decisions seriously undermine the agreed upon role of ASPCRO in the termiticide labeling process. The agency abdicates its responsibility to consistently apply the principles embodied in PR 96-7 and ultimately forces individual states to once again consider taking their own regulatory action against labels found to not meet the five-year efficacy standard that had been the agreed upon standard for soil applied termiticide products.

## Resolutions

### Resolution:

The Association of Structural Pest Control Regulatory Officials recommends that states deny state registration of any termiticide product, whether new or amended, that does not meet the current five year efficacy standard as established in EPA PR Notice 96-7.